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## Preamble

The time period between the approval of the first Action Plan for the period 2006-2007 and the presentation of this second plan has been relatively short. However, a series of changes in the legal framework have contributed to legitimise even further the 2006 initiative by this university to approve a plan for equality, which was the first to be drawn up in a Catalan university.

The main regulations that act as a reference for the definition of the measures included in the plan are the following:

Currently, Organic Law 3/2007, of 22 march for effective equality of women and men, which establishes in article 45 that companies with more than two hundred and fifty workers must draw up and apply plans for equality, provides the framework for the orientation and enforcement of actions that were started in the first plan.

- As regards the introduction of the principle of equality in educational policy, article 24 includes "The integration of the study and application of the principle of equality in courses and programmes for the initial and ongoing training of teachers".
- With respect to higher education, article 25 establishes that "public universities must promote teaching and research on the meaning and scope of equality between women and men", and more specifically, "the inclusion in study plans produced of teaching the subject of equality between women and men", "creation of specific postgraduate programmes" and "the carrying out of specialised studies and research on the subject".
- Article 20, which refers to the statistics and studies, establishes that there should be "a systematic inclusion of sex as a variable in statistics, surveys and the collection of data that is carried out".
- The principle of positive action appears in article 11, which introduces the need to adopt specific measures in favour of women to correct situations of inequality with respect to men.
- The transverse character of the principle of equality of treatment appears in article 15: "The public administrations shall actively include in the adoption and execution of its regulations, in the definition and budgeting of public policies in all areas and in carrying out all of its activities.
- In terms of the levels of decision-making, throughout the text of this law there is reiterated mention of the establishment of a balance in the presence of women and men.

A second law, also passed in 2007 (Organic Law 6/2001 on Universities, of 21 December), contributes to framing the principle of equality in the academic context. In its preamble it states that "This Law does not overlook the role of the university as an essential transmitter of values. Today's challenge to achieve a tolerant and equal society in which fundamental rights and liberties between women and men are respected, must indubitably reach the
university. This Law demands a response from the universities to this challenge not only through the incorporation of these values as objectives of the university itself and the quality of its activities, but also through the establishment of systems that allow parity to be reached in the representational bodies and greater participation by women in research groups. Public powers must remove obstacles that impede women from being present on university governing bodies or at the highest levels of university teaching and research, in accordance with the percentage of university graduates they represent. In addition, this reform introduces the creation of specific programmes on gender equality, help for victims of terrorism and the impulse of active policies to guarantee equality of opportunity for people with disabilities."

## Diagnosis of the situation

Below is presented some of the information on the situation of women in the three ambits of the university community: academic staff, administration and services staff, and students. It is considered that the changes that occur over time cannot be attributed to the existence of policies for equality, gives that they are only one of the factors of the transformation of the situation. As a whole, it can be confirmed that the unequal situation of women in the university is not a problem that can be solved in the short term, although the current tendencies indicate a growing equilibrium between the sexes.

It should be pointed out that our university is currently experiencing a phase of renewal of academic and administration and services staff, and the application of our commitment to equality is therefore considered especially important.

## Academic staff

The information below shows how in our universtiy the inequality between women and men among the academic staff is most evident, and this is illustrated in the line graph , which shows that the university produces a kind of funnel effect for women. The relative weighting of women in different positions becomes inverted with respect to that for their male colleagues who represent a rise in academic category. In this sense, the category in which the relative weighting has risen most for lecturers is that of Associate Lecturer. As far as areas of knowledge are concerned, an uneven distribution between women and men has been maintained, although in comparison with previous years this distribution has become slightly more homogeneous. The aspect in which the clearest evidence of improvement can be seen is participation in research projects, where the presence of women is higher than the averagefor teachers at the university. Paradoxically, participation in research does not translate into the obtaining of official recognition and funding opportunities.

In terms of single-person entities, there is still marked inequality by sex, although positive results of the application of rules for a balanced composition of the governing bodies of the university, faculties and schools have been reflected in the positions of the vice-rectors and vice-deans.

Teaching and research staff (PDI) evolution


Proportion of lecturers by category and year

| Category | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| State-employed lecturers |  |  |  |  |  |
| University professor | 21.2 | 22.7 | 22.0 | 21.8 | 21.6 |
| University lecturer | 37.3 | 37.5 | 37.7 | 38.1 | 39.3 |
| University school professor | 27.3 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 32.1 | 33.3 |
| University school lecturer | 57.0 | 55.0 | 55.2 | 54.9 | 52.6 |
| Temporary lecturer | 12.5 | 8.7 | 18.8 | 23.1 | 29.4 |
| Total state-employed lecturers | 35.0 | 34.8 | 35.1 | 35.1 | 33.5 |
| Non state-employed lecturers |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full lecturer | - | - | 28.6 | 28.0 | 30.8 |
| Emeritus lecturer | 20.0 | 33.3 | 5.6 | 10.5 | 49.4 |
| Assistant lecturer (LUC) | 53.6 | 41.7 | 49.0 | 50.7 | 49.0 |
| Tenure-track lecturer | - | - | 47.0 | 46.2 | 48.0 |
| University school teaching | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | - |  |
| assistant | 26.9 | 26.7 | 27.3 | 28.3 | 30.3 |
| Associate lecturer in medicine | 39.7 | 41.4 | 41.2 | 44.1 | 43.2 |
| Associate lecturer | 25.0 | 28.6 | 40.0 | 18.2 | 14.3 |
| Visiting lecturer | 28.2 | 46.8 | 53.0 | 52.7 | 40.0 |
| Others | 37.9 | 38.5 | 38.9 | 40.7 | 41.9 |
| Total Non state-employed lecturers | $\mathbf{3 5 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 . 2}$ | 36.8 | $\mathbf{3 7 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 . 4}$ |
| Total teaching staff |  |  |  |  |  |

$$
\text { Evolution of the relation between TU / CU }{ }^{1}
$$

| Any | Women |  |  |  | Men |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (a) CU | (b) TU | Ratio <br> (b):(a) | (a) CU | (b) TU | Ratio <br> (b):(a) |
| 1996 | 56 | 230 | 4.1 | 250 | 500 | 2.0 |
| 1997 | 57 | 250 | 4.4 | 261 | 521 | 2.0 |
| 1998 | 62 | 275 | 4.4 | 266 | 535 | 2.0 |
| 1999 | 69 | 285 | 4.1 | 276 | 543 | 2.0 |
| 2000 | 71 | 303 | 4.3 | 276 | 551 | 2.0 |
| 2001 | 71 | 311 | 4.4 | 271 | 561 | 2.1 |
| 2002 | 74 | 317 | 4.3 | 275 | 565 | 2.1 |
| 2003 | 74 | 366 | 5.0 | 272 | 617 | 2.3 |
| 2004 | 75 | 353 | 4.7 | 256 | 606 | 2.4 |
| 2005 | 74 | 347 | 4.7 | 263 | 573 | 2.2 |
| 2006 | 74 | 338 | 4.6 | 265 | 550 | 2.1 |
| 2007 | 78 | 329 | 4.2 | 283 | 509 | 1.8 |

Distribution of positions in academic careers. 2006-2007


Distribution of academic staff by area of knowledge.
2007

| Areas | Women | Men | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Sciences | 30.6 | 69.4 | 813 |
| Experimental Sciences and <br> Technologies | 32.9 | 67.1 | 837 |


| Human Sciences | 46.9 | 53.1 | 540 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Social Sciences | 43.9 | 56.1 | 1.159 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 8 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 3 4 9}$ |

Participation in research projects. 2006-2007*

| Positions | Women | Men | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Principal researcher | 38.0 | 62.0 | 768 |
| Researcher | 44.9 | 55.1 | 1.003 |
| Fellow | 32.4 | 67.6 | 34 |
| Under contract | 39.6 | 60.4 | 53 |
| Collaborator | 71.4 | 28.6 | 7 |
| Total | 41.8 | 58.2 | $\mathbf{1 . 8 6 5}$ |

*This data has been compiled according to participation in projects, therefore it shold be taken into account that each person may participate in more than one research project.

Recognised research merits for state-employed lecturers. 2006-2007

| Research merits | Women | Men | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None | 42.9 | 57.1 | 319 |
| 1 | 41.3 | 58.7 | 288 |
| 2 to 3 | 34.9 | 65.1 | 502 |
| 4 to 6 | 25.8 | 74.2 | 295 |
| Total | 36.1 | 63.9 | $\mathbf{1 . 4 0 4}$ |

Doctors and doctors honoris causa for the period 1976-2007

| Proponent | Women | Men | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Faculty of Bioscience | 1.0 | - | 1.0 |
| Faculty of Science | - | 15.5 | 15.5 |
| Faculty of Communication Sciences | - | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Faculty of Education | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.5 |
| Faculty of Economics and Business | - | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Facultyo f Political Science and Soiology | 0.3 | 1.5 | 1.8 |
| Faculty of Law | 0.3 | 3.0 | 3.3 |
| Faculty of Philosophy and Letters | 1.3 | 18.5 | 19.8 |
| Faculty of Medicine | 2.0 | 18.0 | 20.0 |
| Faculty of Translation and Interpretation | - | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Faculty of Veterinary Science | - | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Institutes | 0.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 1}$ |

The fractions correspond to doctorates proposed by more than one faculty

Distribution of the faculty governing bodies. 2007

| Positions | Women | Men | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dean or Director | 26.7 | 73.3 | 15 |
| Secretary of faculty or school | 26.7 | 73.3 | 15 |
| Vice-Dean or Deputy Director | 50.9 | 49.1 | 53 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 2 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 3}$ |

Participation in governing bodies. 2007

| Body or commission | Women | Men | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Senate | 36.0 | 64.0 | 292 |
| Elected members: teaching sector A | 22.1 | 77.9 | 68 |
| Elected members: teaching sector B | 34.2 | 65.8 | 79 |
| Elected members: students | 19.2 | 80.8 | 26 |
| Elected members: Admin \& Service | 55.1 | 44.9 | 89 |
| UAB Governing Council | 30.0 | 70.0 | 30 |
| Governing Council Commissions | 30.4 | 69.6 | 56 |
| Social Council | 26.7 | 73.3 | 15 |
| Governing Team | 46.2 | 53.8 | 13 |

Distribution of main single person bodies. Any 2007

| Body | Women | Men | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vice-Chancellor | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1 |
| Secretary General | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1 |
| Assistant Vice-Chancellor | 60.0 | 40.0 | 10 |
| Higher School Dean/Director | 23.1 | 76.9 | 13 |
| School Director | 50.0 | 50.0 | 2 |
| Department Director | 22.2 | 77.8 | 54 |
| Institute Director |  |  |  |

## Administration and services staff

Unlike the academic staff, in the administration and services staff the presence of women is relatively higher, especially among state-employees where they represent more than fifty per cent. However, in the higher categories women have a lesser presence in terms of the general proportion for each category, and in particular for Scale A and Group 1, and this situation is not improving with time. In the case of non-state employees the presence of women is relatively lower and the growth in the proportion of women in lower categories (groups 3 and 4) should be highlighted.

With respect to participation in the university Senate, the proportion of women members is markedly lower than their presence among the staff.

Employment category of administrative and service staff. 2007

| Category | Women | Men | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| State employees |  |  |  |
| Scale A | 67.3 | 32.7 | 49 |
| Scale B | 74.8 | 25.2 | 127 |
| Scale C | 77.4 | 22.6 | 531 |
| Scale D | 75.3 | 24.7 | 174 |
| Scale E | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1 |
| Total state employees <br> (continuation) | 76.0 | 24.0 | 882 |
| Non state employees | 48.3 | 51.7 | 410 |
| Group 1 | 43.0 | 57.0 | 179 |
| Group 2 | 46.8 | 53.2 | 408 |
| Group 3 | 61.4 | 38.6 | 285 |
| Group 4 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 1.282 |
| Total non-state <br> employees | $\mathbf{6 0 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 1 6 4}$ |
| Total |  |  |  |

Proportion of women administrative and services employees by category and year

| Category | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State employees |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scale A | 70.8 | 67.6 | 68.4 | 62.0 | 65.4 | 67.3 |
| Scale B | 73.8 | 73.7 | 73.6 | 74.8 | 74.2 | 74.8 |
| Scale C | 78.4 | 78.7 | 79.4 | 79.5 | 77.9 | 77.4 |
| Scale D | 66.5 | 70.8 | 67.8 | 70.0 | 72.0 | 75.3 |
| Scale E | 33.3 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total state employees | 73.8 | 75.1 | 75.2 | 75.3 | 75.3 | 76.0 |
| Non-state employees |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Group 1 | 48.4 | 48.7 | 49.4 | 48.3 | 49.6 | 48.3 |
| Group 2 | 42.0 | 40.9 | 45.8 | 44.8 | 41.4 | 43.0 |
| Group 3 | 25.5 | 35.7 | 40.4 | 43.9 | 44.1 | 46.8 |
| Group 4 | 53.8 | 54.0 | 58.5 | 58.9 | 61.4 | 61.4 |
| Total non-state <br> employees | 41.7 | 45.0 | 48.0 | 48.3 | 49.2 | 50.0 |
| Total | 59.2 | 58.9 | $\mathbf{6 0 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 . 6}$ |

Evolution of the relationship between categories. State employees

| Year | Women |  |  |  | Men |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A+B (a) | C+D+E (b) | (b):(a) | A+B (a) | C+D+E (b) | (b):(a) |  |
| 2002 | 110 | 473 | 4.3 | 40 | 167 | 4.2 |  |
| 2003 | 110 | 483 | 4.4 | 42 | 155 | 3.7 |  |


| 2004 | 121 | 509 | 4.2 | 46 | 162 | 3.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2005 | 123 | 513 | 4.2 | 49 | 159 | 3.2 |
| 2006 | 129 | 535 | 4.1 | 51 | 167 | 3.3 |
| 2007 | 128 | 542 | 4.2 | 48 | 164 | 3.4 |

Evolution of the relationship between categories. Non-state employees

| Year | Women |  |  | Men |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 + 2 ( a )}$ | $\mathbf{3 + 4}(\mathbf{b})$ | (b):(a) | $\mathbf{1 + 2}(\mathbf{a})$ | $\mathbf{3 + 4} \mathbf{( b )}$ | (b):(a) |
| 2002 | 139 | 136 | 1.0 | 163 | 222 | 1.4 |
| 2003 | 199 | 212 | 1.1 | 230 | 273 | 1.2 |
| 2004 | 221 | 253 | 1.1 | 237 | 276 | 1.2 |
| 2005 | 226 | 283 | 1.3 | 252 | 283 | 1.1 |
| 2006 | 252 | 324 | 1.3 | 285 | 309 | 1.1 |
| 2007 | 275 | 366 | 1.3 | 314 | 327 | 1.0 |

## Students

There remains an over-representation of women in the different areas of knowledge except for technologies. Moreover, it is important to note than in the area of experimental sciences there has been a significant drop in the proportion of women graduates.

With respect to academic performance, as a whole the proportion of subjects passed by men and women in relation to those they registered for has risen, but it is also the case that women demonstrate higher academic performance than men.

In doctoral studies, there is evidence of a lower presence of women than that which corresponds to the number of graduates.

Student evolution for the period 1995-2007


Distribution of students by area of knowledge. 2006-2007

| Area | Women | Men | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Human Sciences and Artistic Studies | 68.2 | 31.8 | 4.603 |
| Social Sciences | 63.7 | 36.3 | 13.546 |
| Health Sciences | 78.0 | 22.0 | 5.062 |
| Technologies | 14.6 | 85.4 | 3.091 |
| Experimental Sciences | 59.0 | 41.0 | 4.112 |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 1 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 4 1 4}$ |

Evolution of the proportion of women graduates compared with total graduates, by area of knowledge and year

| Area | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Human Sciences and Artistic Studies | 74.4 | 74.9 | 77.0 | 77.3 | 69.8 |
| Experimental Sciences | 63.0 | 64.2 | 66.1 | 68.6 | 59.6 |
| Technologies | 17.8 | 19.6 | 14.7 | 24.8 | 17.1 |
| Health Sciences | 74.6 | 77.7 | 79.5 | 75.9 | 77.0 |
| Social Sciences | 69.9 | 69.0 | 69.4 | 71.3 | 73.9 |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 7 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 . 3}$ |

Proportion of first and second cycle subjects passed compared with enrolments. 2006-2007

| Area | Women | Men | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Human Sciences and Artistic Studies | 74.4 | 66.7 | 72.0 |
| Experimental Sciences | 73.0 | 67.3 | 70.7 |
| Technologies | 66.6 | 63.0 | 63.6 |
| Health Sciences | 81.3 | 77.9 | 80.5 |
| Social Sciences | 78.4 | 66.8 | 74.4 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 7 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 . 6}$ |

Qualifications obtained for first and second cycle subjects by sex and area of knowledge. 2006-2007

|  | Human Sciences and Artistic <br> Studies |  | Experimental Sciences |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Women | Men | Total | Women | Men | Total |
| Not present | 19.9 | 27.4 | 22.2 | 16.3 | 22.7 | 18.8 |
| Fail | 5.7 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 10.8 | 10.0 | 10.5 |
| Pass | 30.7 | 27.1 | 29.6 | 36.2 | 35.0 | 35.7 |
| Grade B | 30.2 | 25.9 | 28.9 | 26.3 | 23.1 | 25.0 |



Theses presented by area and sex. 2006-2007

| Area | Women | Men | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Human Sciences | 36.1 | 63.9 | 36 |
| Experimental Sciences and Technologies | 46.0 | 54.0 | 161 |
| Health Sciences | 56.5 | 43.5 | 115 |
| Social Sciences | 37.0 | 63.0 | 81 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 6 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 3}$ |

## Production, approval and implementation of the plan

The starting point for the production of the second action plan was the experience acquired in the design and application of the first plan for equality. With respect to the first plan, permanent and new measures were defined, justified to a large extent by the legal changes introduced by the Law for equality and the reform of the Organic Law for Universities (LOU) passed in 2007.

The process for the production of the proposed plan was the following:

1. Analysis of the monitoring report on the implementation of the first action plan.
2. Review of the documentation provided bu the Women and Science Commission of the Inter-University Council of Catalonia.
3. Drawing up of the draft proposal by the Observatory for Equality.
4. Sending the working document of the Advisory Committee of the Observatory for proposals and changes.
5. Working session with the Advisory Committee to produce the proposal for the plan.
6. Sending the proposal to the Governing Council.
7. Sending the proposal to social agents for their comments and proposals.
8. Working session with trade union representatives.
9. Writing the final proposal.
10. Sending the document to members of the Governing Council and presenting the proposed Plan.
11. Approval of the Plan by the Governing Council.

## Axes and measures

Following the criteria applied when writing the first plan, the measures will be organised around fives main axes:

1. Increasing visibility of sexism, raising awareness and the creating a state of opinion.
2. Access to equality of working conditions and professional promotion.
3. Organisation of working conditions from a gender perspective.
4. Promotion of a gender perspective in the content of teaching and research.
5. Balanced representation in the different decision making bodies and structures.

## Axis 1: Visibility of sexism, raising awareness and creating a state of opinion

| 1.1. Produce statistics for students, academic staff and administrative and services staff by sex. |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Bodies responsible | Secretary General and Management Offices |
| Implementation | Office of Information and Documentation Management (OGID) |
| Instrument |  |
| Calendar | Permanent |
| 1.2. Present the details related to the drawing up of internal planning agreements for faculties and schools, <br> departments and institutes, separated by sex. |  |
| Bodies responsible | Governing Team (Sector Committee for Strategic Planning) |
| Implementation | OGID |
| Instrument |  |
| Calendar |  |


| 1.8. Promote reflection on policies aimed at eliminating inequality between women and men in the field of <br> public universities. |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Bodies responsible | Commission for Gender Policies |
| Implementation | Observatory for Equality |
| Instrument | Workshops |
| Calendar | Annual |
| 1.9. Hold an institutional event to celebrate the International Women's Day, which includes an act of recognition <br> for the person, department or centre identified by the UAB for their defence of women's rights. |  |
| Bodies responsible | Commission for Gender Policies |
| Implementation | Observatory for Equality |
| Instrument | Event |
| Calendar | Annual |

## Axis 2: Access to employment and professional promotion under equal conditions.

2.1. Guarantee that the rules of the UAB relating to the criteria for contracting, evaluating curriculums and research projects do not contain indirect discrimination.

| Bodies responsible | Vice Rector's Office for Academic and Management Staff |
| :--- | :--- |
| Implementation | Area of Human Resources Administration and Management |
| Instrument | Rules |
| Calendar | Permanent |
| 2.2. Present separate information by sex for applicants and successful candidates for positions in the <br> university and composition of committees. |  |
| Bodies responsible | Vice-Rector's Office for Academic and Management Staff |
| Implementation | Area of Human Resources Administration and Management |
| Instrument |  |
| Calendar | Permanent |

2.3. Ensure equality in the composition of employment tribunals. In the case of candidates with equal merit, apply positive discrimination in favour of the least represented sex.

| Bodies responsible | Vice Rector's Office for Academic and Management Staff |
| :--- | :--- |
| Implementation | Area of Human Resources Administration and Management |
| Instrument |  |
| Calendar | Permanent |
| 2.4. In the case of equal merit promote the contracting or change of category for the underrepresented sex. |  |
| Bodies responsible | Governing Team (Sector Committee for Strategic Planning) |
| Implementation | Vice Rector's Office for Academic Staff |
| Instrument | Internal planning agreements |
| Calendar | Permanent until balance is reached |
| 2.5. When awarding contracts, give priority to offers from bidding companies that have available a plan for <br> male-female equality in the case of a draw. |  |
| Bodies responsible | Management |
| Implementation | Management |
| Instrument | Competition conditions |
| Calendar | Permanent |


| 2.6. Stimulate the growing presence of women experts in international research projects until a balance is achieved. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Bodies responsible | Vice-Rector's Office for Research and Vice-Rector's OFFICE OFR External Relations and Cooperation |
| Implementation | Area of Development and Research Assessment |
| Instrument | International agreements |
| Calendar | Permanent until balance is achieved |
| 2.7. Promote measures that encourage women to apply for research assessment merit until a balance is achieved. |  |
| Bodies responsible | Governing Team (Sector Committee for Strategic Planning) |
| Implementation | Departments |
| Instrument | Internal planning agreements |
| Calendar | Annual |
| 2.8. Collecting information about situations of discrimination, sexual harassment or inappropriate treatment at the UAB. |  |
| Bodies responsible | Assistant for Prevention, Health and Safety |
| Implementation | Assistant for Prevention, Health and Safety and Observatory for Equality |
| Instrument | Observatory for Equality |
| Calendar | Permanent |
| 2.9. Increase the number of women among the experts, conference presenters and guests at institutional events at the UAB, faculties, schools and departments, as well as on the awarding of honorary doctorates, until balance is achieved. |  |
| Bodies responsible | Governing Team (Sector Committee for Strategic Planning) |
| Implementation | Governing Team (Sector Committee for Strategic Planning) |
| Instrument | Internal planning agreements |
| Calendar | Permanent until a balance is achieved |
| 2.10. Organise workshops to consider possible obstacles to professional promotion of women within the academic staff of the UAB. If necessary, propose measures to overcome them. |  |
| Bodies responsible | Commission for Gender Policies |
| Implementation | Observatory for Equality |
| Instrument | Workshop |
| Calendar | 2009 |
| 2.11. Draw up a diagnosis on conditions for promotion of women among the administrative and services staff. |  |
| Bodies responsible | Commission for Gender Policies |
| Implementation | Observatory for Equality |
| Instrument | Report |
| Calendar | 2009 |
| 2.12. Nominate a person to be responsible for monitoring equality policy in the governing teams of centres or departments. |  |
| Bodies responsible | Deans and heads of department |
| Implementation | Faculties, schools and departments |
| Instrument | Nomination |
| Calendar | Permanent |
| 2.13. Nominate a person to be responsible for monitoring equality policy in services or areas of administration. |  |
| Bodies responsible | Manager |
| Implementation | Areas and services |
| Instrument | Nomination |
| Calendar | Permanent |


| 2.14. Encourage women graduates to apply for pre-doctoral and post-doctoral grants. |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Bodies responsible | Vive-Rector's Office for Students and Culture |
| Implementation | Faculties and schools |
| Instrument | Dissemination campaigns |
| Calendar | Permanent |

## Axis 3: Organisation of employment conditions with a gender perspective.

| 3.1. Implementation of informative and preventative social health programmes sensitive to the differences |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| between women and men in terms of health and prevention of illness. |  |  |
| Bodies responsible | Commission for Gender Policies and Assistant for Prevention, Health and Safety |  |
| Implementation | Health Service and Prevention Services Offices |  |
| Instrument | Information channels |  |
| Calendar | Annual |  |
| 3.2. Draw up a report on the distribution of teaching activity by sex. Where there is bias, encourage measures <br> to avoid it. | Bodies responsible Commission for Gender Policies <br> Implementation Observatory for Equality <br> Instrument Specialist study <br> Calendar Annual <br> 3.3. Encourage faculties and schools, departments and institutes and research centres to offer information on <br> the application of strategies for balance between the sexes in internal planning agreements. <br> Bodies responsible Sector Committee for Strategic Planning <br> Implementation Faculties and schools, institutes and research centres <br> Instrument Annual monitoring of internal planning agreements <br> Calendar Annual <br> 3.4. Offer information about training programmes and promote access by employees who have occupied their <br> posts for a certain period to have time off to care for dependents or following recovery from a long illness. <br> Bodies responsible Vice Rector's Office for Academic and Management Staff <br> Implementation Area of Human Resources Administration and Management <br> Instrument Training plan <br> Calendar Permanent <br> 3.5. Include criteria for not including periods of inactivity due to caring for dependents in the rules governing <br> application for teaching posts. Vice Rector's Office for Academic Staff <br> Bodies responsible Governing Council <br> Implementation Rules <br> Instrument Permanent <br> Calendar Vice Rector's Office for Academic and Management Staff <br> 3.6. Apply to employees and grant holders the provisions of Spanish and Catalan legislation, collective <br> bargaining agreements and possible tabled agreements with respect to reconciling and family life. <br> Bodies responsible Implementation Area of Human Resources Administration and Management |  |
| Instrument | Permanent |  |
| Calendar |  |  |

## Axis 4: Promotion of a gender persepctive in teaching and research content.

| 4.1. Dissemination of the results of gender orientated research. |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Bodies responsible | Vice-Rector's Office for Research |
| Implementation | Observatory for Equality and Area of Communication and Promotion |
| Instrument | Websites and other means of communication. Exhibition during the celebration of <br> International Women's Day |
| Calendar | Permanent |
| 4.2. Promote the publication of teaching materials that take the gender perspective into account. |  |
| Bodies responsible | Vice-Rector's Office for Academic Planning and Vice-Rector's Office for Programmes and <br> Quality |
| Implementation | Publications Service and Unit for Innovation in Higher Education Teaching |
| Instrument | Line of publications, writing and editing of materials |
| Calendar | Permanent |
| 4.3. Ensure that teaching <br> in subject areas in which it ought to be senist and that the gender dimension is not omitted from programmes <br> Bodies responsible Departments, faculties and schools |  |
| Implementation | Departments, faculties and schools |
| Instrument | Study plans and subject programmes |
| Calendar | Permanent |
| 4.4. Introduce the significance and scope of equality between women and men into teaching. Where appropriate <br> to the degree offer gender itineraries. |  |
| Bodies responsible | Vice-Rector's Office for Academic Planning |
| Implementation | Faculties and schools |
| Instrument | Study plans and subject programmes |
| Calendar | Permanent |

## Axis 5: Balanced representation in the different decision making bodies and structures.

| 5.1. Carry out an exploratory study to detect subjective and objective obstacles that prevent the inclusion of <br> women in decision-making bodies, with the ain of proposing measures to overcome them. |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Bodies responsible | Commission for Gender Policies |
| Implementation | Observatory for Equality |
| Instrument | Study |
| Calendar | 2009 |
| 5.2. Promote a balance between women and men in governing and representational bodies, and particularly in <br> the Senate. | Bodies responsible Secretary General's Office <br> Implementation Secretary General's Office <br> Instrument Rules <br> Calendar Permanent <br> 5.3. Promote a balance <br> achieved. between women and men in permanent departmental committees until balance is <br> Bodies responsible Commission for Gender Policies <br> Implementation Departments <br> Instrument Internal planning agreements |

